Abdominal wall endometriosis after cesarean section
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Abstract

The abdominal wall is the most common position locating endometriosis. The presentation of the event concerning the occurrence of endometriosis in scar of laparotomy after cesarean section. A patient, four years after cesarean section performed with Pfannestiel, came to our outpatient clinic with abdominal pain primary located in the left end of the surgical scar. Based on history and clinical findings was the suspected endometriosis of the abdominal wall and decided that surgical exploration of the disease. Intraoperatively was found hard clumps, a surface of which was solid adherent to the fascia of the abdominal muscles without good infiltration of the muscular wall. Histological examination of surgical preparation confirmed diagnosis of endometriosis in the abdominal wall with fresh bleeding and old data. In this work, after the description of the incident by the systematic arrangement and processing of modern references attempted a brief review of this rare localization of the disease, regarding the pathogenesis, diagnosis, treatment and prognosis.
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Introduction

Endometriosis first described by Rokitansky in 1860. It is a non-invasive neoplastic disease of the reproductive age of women, characterized by the presence and development of functional endometrial tissue outside of the normal anatomical limits of the uterus. The incidence of the disease is not easy to determine with precision. Overall, it is estimated that affects about 1% - 2% of women of reproductive age, approximately 40% - 60% of women with dysmenorrhea and 15% - 25% of infertile women¹. Endometriosis is usually located in the bowels of pelvic and peritoneum. More rarely, it is possible to find and except pelvis spot disease in every tissue and organ in the female body, including laparotomy scar, episiotomy scar, navel, vagina, urinary, gastrointestinal, respiratory, central nervous system, and other².

Case report

Patient 28 years old came to our clinic outpatient clinic with abdominal pain primary located in the left
iliac fossa. The patient described the symptom from
four years, about four months after performing caesarean section. Over time reported deterioration of its
situation especially during the days of menstruation,
when describing pain intensity greater while felt
painful swelling of the skin in the area of the fault. The
information received from the obstetrical history at-
testing to perform caesarean section Pfannestiel with-
out postoperative complications. The medical history
was free. By the clinical examination was found pal-
pable painful induration at the left end of the surgical
scar. After ultrasound of upper and lower abdomen
there were no findings suggestive of intra-abdominal
disease. The CT scan was without pathological find-
ings. The levels of cancer antigen Ca125 were within
normal limits.

Based on history and clinical findings was sus-
ppected endometriosis of the abdominal wall and de-
cided that surgical exploration of the disease.
Intraoperatively was found solid round mass, diame-
ter about 4 cm, hard, small area which was solid ad-
herent to the fascia of good abdominal muscles
(Figure 1). There wasn’t found infiltration of the ab-
dominal muscle wall. Histological examination of
the preparation confirmed diagnosis of endometriosis in
the abdominal wall with fresh and old bleeding ele-
ments (Figure 2). Postoperatively the patient reported
relief of symptoms. No further therapeutic interven-
tion established since it was considered that there was
complete resection of endometriosis outbreak of the
abdominal wall.

Discussion
The abdominal wall is the most common place of
development of ectopic endometriosis tissue. The le-
sion usually relates to the subcutaneous tissue, while
rarely possible to expand the fascia abdominal mus-
cles and muscle wall. Although the literature cases
automatic event reported the disease without prior
open or laparoscopic surgery, in most cases en-
dometriosis of the abdominal wall is iatrogenic and
related to previous surgery scar that requires the
opening of the uterine cavity. The most important
predisposing factor for the development of ectopic
endometriosis tissue in the abdominal wall by the
foregoing hysterectomy in pregnant women. Espe-
cially the destructible early pregnancy seems predis-
posed to implant the surgical scar. Generally, the
frequency of development of the disease is estimated
to be higher after uterine incision for terminating a
pregnancy than after cesarean section. More specif-
ically, after uterine incision to address second

Figure 1. Macroscopic appearance ectopic endometriosis tissue in laparotomy scar after cesarean section (our case).

Figure 2. Microscopic view ectopic endometriosis tissue in laparotomy scar after cesarean section (our case).
trimester abortion calculated terms in 1.08% of cases, and after cesarean 0.03% – 0.04%\(^5\). Recently, Chang and his colleagues showed that after cesarean section scar endometriosis in the laparotomy scar is related to 0.03% - 0.47% of cases, while the average period until onset of symptoms is estimated to be 39.3 months.\(^6\) Moreover, various gynecological surgeries open or laparoscopic access, and rarely amniocentesis (Table 1) included in predisposing factors have been implicated in endometriosis of abdominal wall\(^7,8\).

The diagnosis of endometriosis in surgical scars is not easy and often arises late. The symptoms are not specific and frequently appear after months or years after surgery. Usually displayed a slowly growing painful palpable mass in the scar area which may increase in size and become more painful during menstruation. The pain is the predominant symptom and occurs in almost all cases. The pain, though classically described as a periodic but by recent bibliographical almost half patients do not exhibit periodicity in pain\(^9\). Pain during menstruation may even get the form acute abdomen\(^10\). Severe pain may be the result of autonomous functional ectopic endometrial tissue with sensory nerves that contributes not only to a worsening of symptoms, but also in maintaining ectopic development\(^11\). The difficulty and delay diagnosis mainly due to scarcity of the disease, but also to a wide range of pathological conditions (Table 2) to be included in the differential diagnosis of endometriosis of the abdominal wall\(^12\).

Table 1. Factors that favor the development of endometriosis in the abdominal wall.
- Automatic endometriosis
- Uterus section
- Caesarean section
- Gynecological operations
  - abdominal access
  - laparoscopic access
- Amniocentesis

Table 2. Pathological situations requiring differential diagnosis of endometriosis of the abdominal wall.
- Abscess
- Lipoma
- Hematoma
- Granuloma
- Neuroma
- Sebaceous cyst
- Incisional
- Incisional cyst
- Lymphadenopathy
- Lymphoma
- Sarcoma

Although the diagnosis preoperatively based strictly on history and clinical examination should not be denied the utility of laboratory tests and of modern imaging and interventional diagnostics (Table 3). The use today of serological markers, in addition to the early detection enables the proper postoperative monitoring, monitoring of disease response to the medication and to prevent possible recurrence or malignancy of the lesion. The more meaningful indicator is the cancer antigen 125 (Ca125), increase of which more than 1000 UI / ml may indicate the existence of invasive disease\(^13\). The diagnostic value of the Ca125 the early stages of endometriosis is very low, with specificity of between 83% - 93% and sensitivity starts at only 24% (24% - 94%)\(^14\). Recently, in the attempt to increase the diagnostic value of the Ca125 appear to contribute significantly and the concomitant use of other serological markers, such as cancer antigen 19 - 9 (Ca19 - 9)\(^15\), cancer antigen 15 - 3 (Ca15 - 3)\(^16\), various cytokines (IL - 6, TNF - a)\(^17\), P450 14 aromatase\(^18\) and vascular endothelial growth factor (Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor - VEGF)\(^18,19\).

Ultrasound examination seems to be a useful but non-specific diagnostic method. With transabdominal ultrasound can been detected in the abdominal wall, the ultrasonographic characteristics which in-
clude a infrasound heterogeneous structure with internal echogenic echoes with irregular margins, often infiltrating the adjacent tissues. Although ultrasound check cannot detect the peritoneal endometriosophical implants, the use of transvaginal sonography today is useful in investigation of pelvic structures, to determine the coexistence of pelvic disease. With earlier study by Wolff and colleagues showed that 25% of women with endometriosis in laparotomy scar after cesarean section coexisted a pelvic disease. Computed tomography and particularly magnetic resonance imaging outweigh ultrasound as it is able to provide valuable information on the location, depth, extent of damage and the possible infiltration of adjacent tissues from ectopic endometriosis area.

Table 3. Diagnostic approach of endometriosis of the abdominal wall.

- History
- Clinical examination
- Serological markers
- Transabdominal ultrasound
- Transvaginal ultrasound
- CT
- MRI

Unlike imaging, the aspiration biopsy with thin needle (Fine Needle Aspiration – FNA) can be a valuable and reliable diagnostic tool in the investigation of palpable masses in the abdominal wall. The method can distinguish ectopic endometrium from other pathologies included in the differential diagnosis of endometriosis of the abdominal wall and contribute to timely and accurate preoperative diagnosis, in order to achieve the most appropriate therapeutic approach design disease.

The treatment of endometriosis of the abdominal wall depends on the severity of symptoms and the age of the patient. Wide surgical resection of ectopic endometriosis outbreak remains the treatment of choice, even for repeated recurrent lesions. It is usually curative, and also ensuring the confirmation of diagnosis. Intraoperatively, it is necessary to thorough cleaning of adjacent tissue damage in order to minimize the chances of relapse of the disease. If the symptoms are mild and the patient is to gestate in the near future, the surgical removal of the lesion should be carried out during cesarean. Contrary to the surgical treatment, administration of hormonal preparations as first treatment appears to offer only temporary relief of symptoms. The role of conservative treatment in endometriosis of the abdominal wall is combined with surgical resection of the area in those cases of suspected excision of the lesion on unhealthy limits in order to avoid the increased risk of relapse. The role of conservative treatment in endometriosis of the abdominal wall is combined with surgical resection of the area in those cases of suspected excision of the lesion on unhealthy limits in order to avoid the increased risk of relapse. Finally, new therapeutic techniques that intend to reduce vascularization of ectopic endometrial tissue have been proposed and expected future to expand the available therapeutic options for the effective treatment of endometriosis, especially pelvic form of the disease.

The prognosis of endometriosis of the abdominal wall is usually good. Immediately after surgery most patients report relief of their symptoms. Postoperative monitoring to determine Ca125 is necessary. The Ca125 a recurrence rate of the disease, and malignancy, as reported in the international literature incipient tumor masses endometriosis origin in surgical scars after gynecological surgeries or cesarean.

Conclusion

Endometriosis of the abdominal wall is generally iatrogenic. It is a rare form extra pelvic endometriosis in the differential diagnosis which should include all...
the painful masses in the abdominal wall. The cyclical changes, the progressive increase in the size of the lesion and a range of modern serological and imaging now permit early detection and the proper selection of the most appropriate therapeutic manipulations in order to minimize the risk of recurrence and to prevent malignant transformation of the disease.

References


Received 28-9-2017
Revised 15-10-2017
Accepted 28-10-2017